# Exploring Various Funding Methods for Film and Television Projects

July 24, 2024

Jay Taylor
Jtaylor@andersonkill.com
212-278-1480

The production of film and television projects is capital-intensive. It requires substantial financial resources to cover costs such as pre-production costs, cast and crew salaries, equipment leases, post-production preparations, marketing, and other expenses. Decades of filmmaking have seen the development of a variety of funding methods to create and execute these film projects. In this article, we explore the primary methods of film funding, combining traditional and modern approaches available to filmmakers and producers.

**Studio Financing**

Studio financing is one of the oldest and most traditional methods of funding film and television projects. Major studios like Warner Bros., Universal, and Disney often fund projects internally. These studios have extensive financial resources and can fully finance a project from start to finish. In return, they typically retain significant control over the production and distribution processes. The projects generally start as inhouse projects and go through an internally structure development to final concept. Occasionally a major studio will take on a project initiated by an external source. Other times, a studio may partner with other studios to fund a project.

One great advantage to studio financing is access to substantial capital and a huge well of comprehensive support. This support includes marketing, distribution networks, and experienced personnel. Clearly there are economies of scale with this type of financing. The disadvantages are that studios often demand significant creative input and control, which can limit the creative freedom of filmmakers. Studios also take a large share of the profits, leaving less for the creatives and everyone else.

**Independent Film Financing**

Independent financing involves raising funds from private investors, production companies, or other entities outside of the major studios. This method is especially popular among independent filmmakers who seek more creative control over their projects. These fundraising methodologies dovetail with corporate finance and exempt securities offerings. They consist of debt, equity or some combination of both. Creatives often seek structured arrangements for various phases of a project. Successful projects could offer exponential returns.

The greater the risk, the greater the reward. Producers and artists who seek these types of funding tools are willing to take on more risk. They are usually those who either believe they have solid projects or a great name.

The advantages of independent financing techniques are creative freedom and flexibility. Filmmakers are able maintain greater control over their projects without studio interference and can seek funding from multiple sources, tailoring their approach to the project's specific needs. The disadvantages are that Independent financiers may demand substantial returns on their investments as well (increasing the financial risk for filmmakers) and that independent productions may lack the resources and distribution networks available to compete with studio-backed projects.

Studios also take a large share of the profits, leaving less for the creatives and everyone else.

**Crowdfunding**

In recent years, crowdfunding has emerged as a popular method for funding film and television projects, particularly for smaller, independent productions. Platforms like Kickstarter, Indiegogo, and GoFundMe allow filmmakers to raise funds directly from the public. In addition to these platforms, there is Regulation Crowdfunding (“Reg CF”). Regulation CF is a section of the United States Code of Federal Regulations (17 CFR § 227) that deals with equity crowdfunding. Under Reg CF, eligible parties can offer and sell securities for all sorts of projects (including film projects) through crowdfunding. All Reg CF transactions must take place online through an SEC-registered intermediary, either a broker-dealer or a funding portal. Companies can raise a maximum aggregate amount of $5 million through crowdfunding offerings in a 12-month period.

An advantage of crowdfunding is access to a broad audience, as crowdfunding platforms enable filmmakers to reach a global audiences, potentially raising significant funds. Crowdfunding campaigns can build a dedicated fan base and generate early buzz for the project. However, there is no guarantee that a crowdfunding campaign will reach its funding goal, leaving projects in limbo. Also, running a successful crowdfunding campaign requires substantial time and effort for marketing.

**Government Grants and Tax Incentives**

Many state governments offer grants and tax incentives to support the local film and television industry. Certain states like Georgia and Louisiana have traditionally been known for offering significant tax credits and have attracted thousands of filmmakers to their states. States like Michigan have also offered substantial incentives for filmmaking These programs aim to promote cultural awareness, create state jobs, and attract foreign investment into the state.

Some grants provide funding which does not have to be repaid, reducing the financial burdens and stresses on filmmakers to perform. The incentives can significantly reduce production costs, making it more feasible to complete a project.

One the other hand, some government grants are often highly competitive, with stringent eligibility criteria. There can also be bureaucratic hurdles which make it difficult to navigate the application process for grants and tax incentives. This method can be time-consuming. Some state programs dictate the requirements for a production to receive credit, which requirements may be onerous and somewhat inhibit options and creativity.

**Pre-Sales and Distribution Deals**

Pre-sales involve selling distribution rights to a film or TV project before it is completed. This method allows producers to secure funding based on the project's potential marketability. Distribution deals can also be made post-production, securing a distributor who will handle the film or show's release. Pre-sales are good in that they provide early capital that can be used to finance production. Also, securing distribution deals can validate the project's commercial potential. On the downside, distributors may take a larger share of the profits, which can reduce the filmmakers' earnings and pre-sales agreements often come with deadlines and performance expectations, adding pressure to the production process.

**Private Equity and Venture Capital**

Private equity and venture capital firms invest in film and television projects with the expectation of high returns. These investors typically seek out projects with strong commercial potential and experienced production teams. When these firms find projects they like, capital financing techniques are employed such as debt and equity strategies, or a combination of both. These can come with regulatory hoops as the firms must also comply with securities laws as investors in an enterprise. Their budgets are generally able to handle.

Private equity and venture capital can provide substantial funding for films, enabling large-scale productions. Investors in the niche market often bring valuable business acumen and industry connections to the project. The downside can be that sometimes investors expect significant returns, which can pressure filmmakers to prioritize commercial success over artistic vision. Filmmakers may have to relinquish a significant share of ownership in their project.

**Debt Financing**

Debt financing involves borrowing money to fund a film project, with the expectation of repaying the loan with interest. Common sources of debt financing include banks, financial institutions, and private lenders. This is a good funding technique but not available to all

Some state programs dictate the requirements for a production to receive credit

Filmmakers and producers must carefully consider the advantages and disadvantages of each funding method

projects. Filmmakers retain full ownership of their project, without giving up equity. Loan terms are typically fixed, providing a clear understanding of repayment obligations. However, there is always repayment risk and interest rate risk. The filmmaker is obligated to repay the loan, regardless of the project's success.

**Product Placement and Brand Sponsorship**

Product placement involves featuring branded products within the film or TV show in exchange for funding or other support from the brand. Brand sponsorship can also involve broader partnerships, including co-marketing and promotional activities. We used to see this form of financing technique more often, but still see it occasionally (like James Bond driving primarily Aston Martin). Product placement and sponsorship can provide supplementary funding. Brands do offer marketing support and could enhance the project's visibility. Integrating branded products can limit creative freedom and disrupt the artistic flow. Also, excessive product placement may be perceived as distracting and limiting by audiences.

**Conclusion**

There is a large landscape of film and television funding techniques. The techniques are limited only by the creativity of the industry itself, one known for its creativity. These financing techniques may be employed individually or together to create a broad realm of financing possibilities. Filmmakers and producers must carefully consider the advantages and disadvantages of each funding method, balancing financial needs with creative goals. By leveraging a combination of these funding techniques, industry professionals can navigate a complex world of project financing and bring their creative visions to life.

Anderson Kill practices law in the areas of Insurance Recovery, Commercial Litigation, Environmental Law, Corporate and Finance, Antitrust, Banking and Lending, Bankruptcy and Restructuring, Construction, Foreign Investment Recovery, Employment and Labor Law, Captive Insurance, Intellectual Property, Corporate Tax, Hospitality, and Health Reform. Recognized nationwide by Chambers USA, and best-known for its work in insurance recovery, the firm represents policyholders only in insurance coverage disputes – with no ties to insurance companies and has no conflicts of interest. Clients include Fortune 1000 companies, small and medium-sized businesses, governmental entities, and nonprofits as well as personal estates. The firm has offices in New York, NY; Boston, MA; Denver, CO; Los Angeles, CA; Newark, NJ, Philadelphia, PA; Stamford, CT; and Washington, D.C.

This publication was prepared by Anderson Kill P.C. to provide information of interest to readers. Distribution of this publication does not establish an attorney-client relationship or provide legal advice. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Future developments may supersede this information.

We invite you to contact the authors with any questions.