

ALERT

You Have a Major Products Loss— Will Your Insurance Help?

By William G. Passannante

Product liability claims are a tremendous source of potential liability for the pharmaceutical and healthcare industry. Product liability claims generally are covered by insurance, but can nonetheless have a devastating impact. Actively identifying important insurance coverage issues, as we do below, will arm policyholders to maximize the value of their insurance.

Insurance Coverage for Product Claims

Two common causes of action for recovery product liability suits are strict liability and negligence. The most common source of insurance recovery for such claims are primary and excess level liability insurance policies.

Primary-level CGL policies contain broad grants of coverage, and insure the policyholder against all risks of liability to third parties, unless specifically excluded in the policy. Both coverage "A" (bodily injury) and coverage "B" (property damage) cover liabilities resulting from products manufactured, sold, handled or distributed by the policyholder, whether the liabilities result from strict liability or from negligence.

"Every drug, whether prescription or over-the-counter, and every medical device has side effects."

Excess-level and umbrella liability insurance policies provide coverage in addition to primary-level liability insurance policies. Most pharmaceutical, chemical and oil and gas companies purchased significant excess and umbrella liability policies. Although the customary limits of these policies changed over the years, the policies usually have had high limits and relatively high attachment points. Excess and umbrella policies can provide crucial protection against devastating product liability losses.

Common Arguments Against Coverage

Insurance companies will often argue that the policies they sold contain exclusions which limit their broad grant of coverage. These exclusions include: (a) the "expected-or-intended" (or intentional acts) exclusion; (b) the "business-risk" (or failure to perform) exclusion; and (c) the "own-products" exclusion.

First, CGL insurance policies provide coverage for the unintended results of intentional acts. The distinction between the expected results of intentional acts and the unintended results of intentional acts raises serious issues for the pharmaceutical industry. Every drug, whether prescription or over-the-counter, and every medical device has side effects. Insurance companies improperly argue that such "expected" results are not covered.

Second, the business-risk exclusion, also known as the failure-to-perform

ANDERSON KILL & OLICK, P.C.
1251 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10020-1182
(212) 278-1000 Fax: (212) 278-1733

ANDERSON KILL & OLICK, P.C.
1600 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 568-4202 Fax: (215) 568-4573

ANDERSON KILL & OLICK, P.C.
One Gateway Center, Suite 901
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 642-5858 Fax: (973) 621-6361

ANDERSON KILL & OLICK, L.L.P.
1275 K Street, N.W., Suite 1101
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 218-0040 Fax: (202) 218-0055

ANDERSON KILL & OLICK
190 South LaSalle Street, Suite 800
Chicago, IL 60603
(312) 857-2500 Fax: (312) 857-0122

ANDERSON KILL & OLICK, P.C.
Two Sound View Drive, Suite 200
Greenwich, CT 06830
(203) 622-7668 Fax: (203) 622-0321

www.andersonkill.com



who's who

William G. Passannante is a senior shareholder in the New

York of Anderson Kill & Olick, is Co-Chair of the firm's insurance coverage practice group, and is a member of the firm's Pharmaceutical and Healthcare Industry Group. He has represented policyholders in litigation and trial in major precedent-setting cases. Mr. Passannante is a Vice Chair of the Professionals, Officers and Directors Liability Committee of the Tort and Insurance Practice Section of the American Bar Association. Mr. Passannante has been a member of the Directors and Officers Liability Committee of the Insurance Committee of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York.

The information appearing in this newsletter does not constitute legal advice or opinion. Such advice and opinion are provided by the Firm only upon engagement with respect to specific factual situations. For more information contact one of the attorneys listed.

For more information on these or other Pharmaceutical & Healthcare Insurance matters, please contact one of the lawyers listed, each of whom are members of Anderson Kill's Pharmaceutical & Healthcare Industry Insurance Coverage Group.

© Copyright 2003 Anderson Kill & Olick, P.C.

To subscribe to this
or any of the
Anderson Kill & Olick
Newsletters and Alerts,
visit us at:

www.andersonkill.com/subscribe

exclusion, is the means by which insurance companies seek to preclude coverage for products that do not work as they are designed to do.

Third, the "own-product" or "injury-to-products" exclusion is used by the insurance companies to argue that the policyholder cannot recover for first-party product-type losses under CGL policies. Insurance companies often attempt to improperly extend the reach of this clause to eliminate covered loss.

Other Issues Affecting Recovery Under CGL Policies

Even after all the issues regarding expected-and-intended, the business-risk exclusion and the own-products exclusion are resolved in favor of coverage, other issues will impact a pharmaceutical policyholder's ability to obtain coverage for its product liability claims.

"Occurrence-based" versus "Claims-made" Policies

First, are the policies "occurrence-based" policies or "claims-made" policies? Prior to 1986, standard-form CGL policies were occurrence forms. Since 1986, CGL policies have been offered in three versions: (1) occurrence; (2) claims-made; and (3) occurrence-reported. The policies differ principally with respect to the event which triggers coverage.

Second, trigger of coverage under "occurrence" policies will be an area of dispute. Courts addressing insurance coverage issues for historic claims used different approaches to determine which policies are triggered by the claims. This is a hotly-contested area.

Third, another hotly-contested issue potentially limiting a policyholder's recovery concerns the number of occurrences responsible for a given loss. The number of occurrences figures in arguments regarding the policy limits potentially available, as well as the number of deductibles.

Finally, many policies contain so-called "batch" clauses, which allow the policyholder to notify its insurance company of losses which arise out of multiple units of a single product as a "batch occurrence." Batch clauses allow a policyholder to combine its small product liability claims to reach the limits of these high level policies.

Policyholders aware of these issues will be better armed to maximize the value of their insurance. ■

Eugene R. Anderson	(212) 278-1751	eanderson@andersonkill.com
Jonathan Bauer	(973) 642-5133	jbauer@andersonkill.com
John B. Berringer	(212) 278-1500	jberringer@andersonkill.com
Paul E. Breene	(973) 642-5862	pbreene@andersonkill.com
Larry S. Fischer	(312) 857-2669	lfischer@andersonkill.com
Michele A. Gallagher	(202) 218-0040	mgallagher@andersonkill.com
Joshua Gold	(212) 278-1886	jgold@andersonkill.com
Ann V. Kramer	(212) 278-1709	akramer@andersonkill.com
Timothy Law <i>Editor</i>	(215) 568-4762	tlaw@andersonkill.com
Cort Malone	(212) 278-1382	cmalone@andersonkill.com
Jill Mandell	(212) 278-1460	jmandell@andersonkill.com
Rhonda D. Orin	(202) 218-0049	rorin@andersonkill.com
Robert Pawlowski	(973) 642-5006	rpawlowski@andersonkill.com
William G. Passannante	(212) 278-1328	wpassannante@andersonkill.com
Steven J. Pudell	(973) 642-5877	spudell@andersonkill.com
M. Christina Ricarte	(212) 278-1796	mricaret@andersonkill.com
David M. Schlecker	(212) 278-1730	dschlecker@andersonkill.com
Diana R. Shafter Gliedman	(212) 278-1036	dshafter@andersonkill.com
Lauren Sobel	(973) 642-5143	lsobel@andersonkill.com