



Neil C. Schur

Shareholder / Philadelphia

☎ 267-216-2713

✉ nschur@andersonkill.com

Profile

Neil Schur is a shareholder in Anderson Kill's Philadelphia office and a member of the Firm's Commercial Litigation Group. He is a leading litigator in state and federal courts, a frequent speaker and writer, and the co-chair of Anderson Kill's Labor and Employment Group and Antitrust and Unfair Competition Group.

Neil has litigated cases at the trial court and appellate levels in several states. He represents clients in commercial litigation, employment and antitrust matters, including cases involving contracts, fraud, unfair competition, Title VII, state laws related to employment discrimination, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Sherman Act, and the Robinson-Patman Act.

Neil has substantial experience in commercial litigation, antitrust and unfair competition matters, and franchise litigation. He has also handled – and is currently handling – wrongful termination and employment discrimination cases under Title VII and other state and federal employment laws. Neil is also available for consultation and drafting of separation agreements, employment manuals and employment policies.

Among his successful prior representations, following a 9-week jury trial, Neil recently obtained a favorable jury verdict on 6 of 7 counts for one client (including recovery of hundreds of thousands of dollars on its counterclaims) and dismissal of all counts against a second client, in a contentious contractual dispute in Hudson County, New Jersey (*Case Medical, Inc. v. Amada America, Inc. et al.*). He also successfully petitioned a Philadelphia trial court to strike a confessed judgment of approximately \$6.6 million in a commercial lease dispute and represented a Netflix reality television star in a complex business divorce dispute regarding financial matters and a stock transfer, successfully obtaining a reversal from the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court of New York of a trial court's order granting in part a motion to dismiss. In another case, he obtained a unanimous verdict in favor of two clients on all counts brought against them, following a nine-day jury trial in Essex County, New Jersey (*Fioravanti v. Ettere*). Finally, as part of a multi-firm team, he successfully represented a student loan provider accused of improper billing to the U.S. Department of Education. (*U.S. ex rel. Oberg v. Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency*).

Neil is a member of the bars of Pennsylvania, New York and New Jersey.

He also has substantial appellate experience and has successfully handled appeals in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, the Superior Court of Pennsylvania, and the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court of New York.

Neil has recently spoken or written on non-compete clauses, force majeure clauses, non-disclosure agreements, confidentiality, trade secrets, mechanic's liens, and the FTC chair's efforts to rein in Big Tech. He will be speaking soon about changes to employment law during the second Trump administration.

As the son of a small business owner in the manufacturing of boxed chocolates, Neil understands and appreciates the realities of manufacturing, issues and competition. He loves the excitement of making products and creating new ones. He has represented and advised manufacturers of fiber optic lasers, flooring, industrial batteries, and baby products such as car seats and strollers.

Before becoming a lawyer, Neil worked as a reporter for The Philadelphia Inquirer and the Associated Press, and as a teacher for the Houston Independent School District, as part of Teach for America.

Memberships

Professional Memberships

American Bar Association, Antitrust Section; Pennsylvania Bar Association; Philadelphia Bar Association.

Co-Chair of the Construction Law Committee of the Philadelphia Bar Association

Board Memberships

Director and Member of Finance Committee of Mt. Sinai Cemetery Association (2015-present); KIPP Philadelphia Schools Leadership Council Chair (2012-2017).

Awards & Honors

- Pro Bono Roll of Honor of the First Judicial District of Pennsylvania: 2010
- Pennsylvania Super Lawyer: Rising Star 2007
- Pennsylvania Super Lawyer: Rising Star 2006
- The Legal Intelligencer: "Lawyer on the Fast Track" 2006
- MS Leadership Award: National Multiple Sclerosis Society 2005

Education

- The University of Texas School of Law, J.D.
- University of Pennsylvania, B.A., with distinction in English Literature

- St. Catherine's College, University of Oxford

Bar Admissions

- Pennsylvania
- New Jersey
- New York

Court Admissions

- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
- United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania
- United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania
- United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit
- United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit
- Supreme Court of the United States

Practice Areas

- Antitrust and Unfair Competition
- Corporate and Commercial Litigation
- Employment and Labor

Industry Group

- Construction
- Financial Services

Experience

- *Case Medical, Inc. v. Amada America, Inc. et al.*, N.J. Superior Ct., Hudson Cty. No. HUD-L-002147-22, Sept. 15, 2025 (obtained favorable jury verdict on 6 of 7 counts for one client and dismissal of all claims against a second client, after nine-week trial in contractual dispute).
- *1418 Master Landlord, LLC v. KBI Services, Inc. d/b/a Kindbody, LLP*, Phila. Cty. April Term 2024 No. 1911, slip op., Nov. 18, 2024 (striking confessed judgment of \$6.6 million).
- *Haart v. Scaglia*, No. 2023-01943, 2024 WL 4375387 (1st Dep't Oct. 3, 2024) (reversing trial court's order granting motion to dismiss certain claims on *res judicata* and collateral estoppel grounds).
- *Domus BWW Funding, LLC v. Arch Ins. Co.*, No. 2-23-cv-00094, 2024 WL 3761737 (E.D. Pa. Aug. 12, 2024) (granting motion for sanctions against defendant for discovery misconduct).
- *Chubb National Insurance Company, et al. vs. D'Cunha MD, Jonathan, et al.* (W.D. Pa. 2023) (successfully represented physician in insurance coverage case).
- *Boris v. Vurimindi* (Pa. Super. Ct. No. 1553 EDA 2020, Jan. 25, 2022) (affirming, *inter alia*, trial court's order quashing subpoenas to client intervenors).
- *Numoda Corporation v. A. Boris* (C.C.P. Phila. No. 210402523, Jan. 19, 2022) (overruling

defendants' preliminary objections to client's complaint for breach of negotiable instrument); see also *Numoda Corporation v. J. Boris* (C.C.P. Phila. No. 210402531, Jan. 19, 2022) (reaching same result).

- *Vurimindi v. Schaheen* (C.C.P. Phila. 201904001684, Sept. 3, 2019) (sustaining clients' preliminary objections to complaint alleging defendants' failure to comply with order in separate case that plaintiff had appealed).
- *Banks v. Owens*, 2018 WL 6249709 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 6, 2018) (granting motion to dismiss claim against lawyer for science museum who allegedly played role in museum employee's filing police report of stalking by plaintiff), *affirmed* (3d Cir. Dec. 13, 2019).
- *Fioravanti v. Ettere*, Essex Cty. No. ESX-L-1250-17 (unanimous defense verdict dated Dec. 12, 2018, rejecting plaintiff's effort to "pierce the corporate veil" and recover corporate debts from shareholders), *motion for new trial denied*, March 1, 2019.
- *United States ex rel. Oberg v. PHEAA*, 912 F.3d 731 (4th Cir. Jan. 8, 2019) (affirming defense verdict rejecting plaintiff's claim that Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency had defrauded the U.S. Department of Education and damages claim in excess of \$350 million).
- *Guthrie Healthcare System v. Context Media, Inc.*, 826 F.3d 27 (2d Cir. 2016) (affirming district court's liability determination of a likelihood of confusion between the parties' trademarks but reversing overly narrow injunction).
- *Reading Hospital System's Proposed Acquisition of Surgical Institute of Reading* (2012) (represented party in administrative proceedings regarding proposed transaction challenged by Federal Trade Commission and Attorney General of Pennsylvania).
- *In the Matter of Polypore International, Inc.*, FTC Docket No. 9327 (2010) (successfully represented four witnesses in depositions and administrative hearing before Federal Trade Commission regarding 2008 two-to-one merger to monopoly of manufacturers of critical component of industrial batteries; result led to divestiture of acquired assets, including companies in the Netherlands and Austria) (FTC Opinion Dec. 13, 2010).
- *In re Exide Technologies*, 2010 WL 2163190 (3d Cir. June 1, 2010) (concluding, after years of contentious litigation, that purchaser had substantially performed contract, so it was not executory and could not be rejected under 11 U.S.C. § 365(a)). U.S. Bankr. Ct. D. Del. No. 02-11125, Adv. Proc. No. 10-52766 (Jan. 8, 2013), *denied*, Feb. 22, 2011.
- *Tubbs v. North American Title Agency, Inc.*, 2010 WL 3044067 (3d Cir. Aug. 5, 2010) (reversing dismissal of plaintiff client's complaint).
- *Shaev v. Sidhu* (C.C.P. Phila., Oct. 28, 2008) (represented bank in five consolidated class actions, settled case, represented bank at fairness hearing and on appeal in Superior Court of Pennsylvania and Supreme Court of Pennsylvania), *affirmed*, Super. Ct. of Pa., 3405 EDA 2008, 3406 EDA 2008, Aug. 9, 2010, *denied*, Dec. 21, 2010 (Pa).
- *Weiss v. Fiber Optic Designs, Inc.*, 2008 WL 3984166 (E.D. Pa. Aug. 27, 2008) (dismissing complaint with prejudice as a sanction for plaintiff's "established pattern of discovery misconduct and willful inattentiveness to his own case").
- *Doe v. Abington Friends School*, 480 F.2d 252 (3d Cir. 2007) (successfully represented plaintiff in obtaining reversal of district court order denying Rule 56(f) motion and allowing discovery).
- *Lithuanian Commerce Corp. v. Sara Lee Hosiery* (represented Sara Lee Corp. against a civil action for franchise termination and fraud. Obtained directed verdict for the client after seven-week jury trial. Following appeal to the Third Circuit, retried a portion of the case on remand, and case settled).
- *Peerless Heater Co. v. Mestek, Inc.*, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 1409 (E.D. Pa. Feb. 7, 2000) (granting in part and denying in part motion for summary judgment on our client's Sherman Act Section 1 claim and Lanham Act Section 1125 claim; successfully represented manufacturing client in civil action for damages from false and misleading statements,

predatory pricing, price discrimination and related anticompetitive conduct by a competitor against client in the boiler industry, settled on eve of jury trial).

- *Shore Slurry Seal v. Koch Industries* (D.N.J.) (represented road paving client in contract dispute with counterclaims under Robinson-Patman Act and Sherman Act, settled during jury trial).
- *Salomon S.A. v. Ocean State Jobbers, Inc.* (U.S.D.C. D.R.I. No. C.A. 98–583 T) (represented ski manufacturer in jury trial arising from gray market dispute regarding skis offered for sale without manufacturer’s authorization in the U.S.
- *Santana Products, Inc. v. Bobrick Washroom Equipment, Inc.*, 249 F.Supp. 2d 463 (M.D. Pa. 2003) (granting our client’s motion to dismiss Sherman Act Section 1 claim but denying motion to dismiss Lanham Act Section 1125 claim), *affirmed in part and reversed in part*, 401 F.3d 123 (3d Cir. 2005) (affirming dismissal of Sherman Act Section 1 claim but reversing denial of motion to dismiss Lanham Act Section 1125 claim and dismissing same), *denied*, 126 S. Ct. 734 (2005).

Speaking Engagements

Federal Employment Law Update

Celesq / June 8, 2026

Covenants Not to Compete: Understanding the Legal Landscape in 2025

Celesq and CeriFi LegalEdge / February 26, 2025

Force Majeure Clauses in Contracts: Drafting and Enforcing Provisions for U.S. and International Agreements

Celesq / June 25, 2024

Force Majeure Clauses in Contracts: Drafting and Enforcing Provisions

Strafford Publications / April 14, 2024

Practical Guidance on Confidentiality and NDAs

August 8, 2023

FORCE MAJEURE CLAUSES IN CONTRACTS: DRAFTING AND ENFORCING PROVISIONS FOR U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS

Strafford Publications / March 14, 2023

Publications

Pennsylvania Delay Damages and the Data Center Boom
ENREast / August 13, 2026

Federal Employment Law Under the Trump Administration: A Significant Shift in Enforcement Priorities
The Legal Intelligencer | ALM / July 24, 2026

Two Years After 'Muldrow,' What Must a Plaintiff Show to Establish Harm From Discrimination in the Third Circuit?
The Legal Intelligencer | ALM / July 2, 2026

Trump Administration Withdraws EEOC Guidance as to Harassment Based on Gender Identity or Sexual Orientation
The Legal Intelligencer | ALM / March 9, 2026

A Look at Employment Law Shifts in 2025 and Beyond
The Legal Intelligencer | ALM / December 19, 2025

How Should Construction Companies Handle DEI Under Trump?
Construction Dive / June 5, 2025

What, if Anything, Should Businesses Do About DEI in the Age of Trump?
The Legal Intelligencer / March 24, 2025

Appealing An Interlocutory Order On Insurer Duty To Defend
Law360 / February 26, 2025

The FTC's Noncompete Rule Is Likely Dead
New York Law Journal / February 10, 2025

The FTC's Noncompete Rule Is Likely Dead
The Legal Intelligencer / January 10, 2025

Noncompete Law in Flux—What to Do in the Meantime?
The Legal Intelligencer / October 3, 2024

Texas Federal Judge Halts FTC's Pending Noncompete Ban
Employment Law Insider Alert / August 23, 2024

As Federal Antitrust Regulators Try to Rein in Big Tech, One Court Finds Google Is a Monopolist
The Legal Intelligencer / August 19, 2024

Is the Robinson-Patman Act Alive and Kicking?
The Legal Intelligencer / May 29, 2024

FTC Bans Noncompetes Nationwide in Most Instances
Anderson Kill Employment Law Insider Alert / May 2, 2024

Noncompetes Are Under Attack: Important Developments to Consider
The Legal Intelligencer / February 28, 2024

A MECHANIC'S LIEN CAN BE A POWERFUL TOOL TO HELP CONTRACTORS GET PAID
Construction Industry Advisor / June 6, 2023

Contractual Obligations in the Face of Events Beyond a Party's Control: Force Majeure and Other Relevant Doctrines
Anderson Kill Commercial Litigation Advisor / April 24, 2023

Movement Led By The FTC Chair To Rein In Big Tech May Impact Businesses, Consumers
The Legal Intelligencer / October 19, 2022

Force Majeure Claims Amid New Supply Chain Disruptions
Law360 / June 21, 2022

Congress Takes On Price Setting
The Philadelphia Business Journal / August 14, 2008

Court Renders First Robinson-Patman Act Ruling In 12 Year
The Legal Intelligencer / March 6, 2006

3rd Circuit Offers Insight Into Sherman Act Sec. 1 Claim Proofs
The Legal Intelligencer / December 21, 2004

Antitrust Litigation Best Practices: Leading Lawyers On Developing A Defense Strategy, Evaluating Settlement Opportunities And Avoiding Common Mistakes
Aspatore Books / July 1, 2001

Assessing The Constitutionality And Policy Implications Of The 1994 Federal Drug Kingpin Death Penalty

Texas Forum on Civil Liberties & Civil Rights / June 1, 1996

News

Anderson Kill Taps Philly Atty To Co-Chair Employment Group

May 19, 2026 / Law360 Pulse

Anderson Kill Names Neil C. Schur Co-chair of the Firm's Employment and Labor Group

May 19, 2026 /

Citing 'Sloppy' Discovery, Pa. Federal Judge Imposes Sanctions on Insurance Carrier, Calls Out Counsel

August 15, 2024 / Law.com

Anderson Kill Names Neil C. Schur Co-Chair of the Firm's Antitrust and Unfair Competition Group

March 13, 2024 /

Anderson Kill Names Litigation Vet As Antitrust Co-Leader

March 13, 2024 / Law360

Anderson Kill Snags Longtime Stevens & Lee Partner

April 17, 2022 / Philadelphia Business Journal

Neil C. Schur Joins Anderson Kill's Litigation Group as a Shareholder in Philadelphia

April 12, 2022 /

Anderson Kill Adds Shareholder From Stevens & Lee In Philly

April 11, 2022 / Law360
