

ANDERSON KILL

Policyholder Alert

Rapid Response to Maximize Insurance Recovery After Hurricanes



By **Dennis J. Artese**

While New Orleans, fortified by \$14.5 billion worth of flood control investment in the wake of Hurricane Katrina, avoided the worst immediate ravages of Hurricane Ida, the city is still suffering from extended power outages and significant flooding – and the storm ravaged much of southeastern Louisiana. Initial estimates placed insured damage in the neighborhood of **\$15-25 billion**. As the storm moved on, tornadoes and record-breaking rainfall across parts of Pennsylvania, New Jersey and New York killed at least 24 people and caused extensive flooding.

As businesses across much of the country work to restore their property and income to normal, they also need to stay on top of their insurance claims. As storm intensity continues to build year by year, businesses on all U.S. coasts need to keep their guard up – and that should include reviewing and toning up their insurance claims protocols.

When a storm inflicts serious property damage and business interruption on a business, insurance is not often the first thought. But response on this front needs to be as rapid and sus-



tained as action to repair and restore property. Indeed, the two are often intertwined.

In the wake of a storm or other natural disaster, the following steps will get the claims process on the right track and help maximize insurance recovery.

Meet Prompt Notice and Other Policy Requirements – But Keep Your Options Open

Insurance policies generally require prompt notice of loss. Late notice can result in forfeiture of coverage in the worst case, and to needless coverage fights in less extreme cases. Policyholders should give notice promptly to all possibly relevant insurance companies.

Key points:

Meet prompt notice and other policy requirements – but keep your options open

Undertake and document emergency and temporary repairs

Take the measure of business income loss

At the same time, policyholders should be cautious in providing any specifics concerning the cause of loss until the policy has been reviewed and all facts have been obtained. In the immediate aftermath of a storm, the cause of loss can simply be listed as the storm itself or “the effects of” the storm.

Unconsidered attributions as to the cause of loss may run afoul of policy exclusions, including anti-concurrent causation clauses purporting to exclude coverage for the full amount of the loss if both covered and uncovered perils contribute to cause the loss.

Commercial property policies typically have several other time-sensitive requirements. Many policies provide that the policyholder must file a sworn proof of loss within 30, 60 or 90 days of the date of loss or from request by the insurance company. Some states may modify this time period by statute.

Another key time-related issue concerns contractual suit limitations periods. Many property policies provide that any insurance coverage lawsuit must be filed within a short period of time — usually one or two years — after the inception of the loss. Some states may modify this time period by statute, but be sure any statutory extension applies to the cause of loss at issue (e.g., a statutory extension for fire losses may not apply to a flood loss). Written tolling agreements can help protect policyholders against suit limitations provisions.

Some policies also contain a “Replacement Cost Coverage Election” period. These periods provide a deadline by which a policyholder has to specify their intention to replace damaged property and receive replacement cost coverage. There may also be a deadline by which a policyholder

must complete repairs to obtain replacement cost coverage.

Undertaking and Documenting Emergency and Temporary Repairs

In the immediate aftermath of a storm, and sometimes in advance of a storm, a policyholder may have certain duties relating to the protection and preservation of property. Most policies require policyholders to mitigate damages and some require them to do so by resuming operations as quickly as possible.

Either the insurance company (on behalf of the policyholder) or the policyholder (with the consent of the insurance company) should retain an emergency restoration company to pump out any standing water, perform emergency/temporary repairs and secure the premises.

All activity and costs, both internal and external, must be fully documented with photos, videos and other written documentation. It is good practice for the policyholder to create an internal cost code specific to disaster recovery/repairs and have its own employees bill their applicable time to such cost code and document their activities.

The insurance company should be kept fully apprised of all activity and damage and provided, in writing, with a reasonable time to inspect the premises before damaged, fully documented items are discarded.

Taking the Measure of Business Income Loss

Maximizing post-storm recovery entails assessing not only the physical damage to property but also income losses stemming from flooded and blocked roads and bridges, interruptions of shipping and air transport, evac-

Policyholders should be cautious in providing any specifics concerning the cause of loss until the policy has been reviewed and all facts have been obtained.

uations, and closures by civil authority. Insured losses may extend well beyond the area of impact.

Business interruption insurance covers businesses for losses in income stemming from unavoidable disruptions to their regular operations as a result of covered damage to insured property. In addition to coverage resulting from damage to the policyholder's own property, "BI" coverage also may be triggered by circumstances including utility service interruption, a government evacuation order or an impairment in access to a business' premises. Many property policies also provide "extended business interruption" coverage that begins when the property is fully repaired and ends when operations are ramped up to their pre-disaster level — though that extension typically is limited to 60 days.

Contingent business interruption coverage is triggered when policyholders lose revenue after a property loss impacts one or more suppliers or customers. For example, businesses that rely upon specialty chemicals from the affected area may have to pay more for supplies, and companies that sell into the area, such as consumer products manufacturers and distributors, will suffer lost sales. While the business itself need not be physically damaged, it does need to have coverage for the type of damage that affected its suppliers, business partners or customers.

Extra expense coverage applies to additional costs incurred by the policyholder as a result of damage to its property, and to costs incurred to mitigate economic losses. This coverage often is very broadly defined. Contingent extra expense coverage applies when costs are incurred as a result of a business interruption caused by damage to the property of a supplier or customer.

For more information about the extent and limitations of business income coverage, please see [Understanding Post-Storm Business Interruption Coverage](#).

In this second summer of the pandemic, calculations of business interruption losses are complicated by the effects of the pandemic, which continues to affect business income in various industries. Insurance companies are likely to incorporate pandemic effects into their estimates of storm-triggered income losses. Businesses should be prepared to dispute insurance company estimates that start from an inaccurate baseline.

Documenting and valuing post-storm losses is a complex task, and the claims process can be protracting. Our focus here is on getting off on the right foot — by giving prompt notice and complying with other policy requirements without compromising coverage, by securing the property against follow-on damage that may not be covered, by documenting property damage, and by recognizing the scope of potential income losses and including them in the claims process. Recognizing these tasks in advance and acting on them promptly are vital to full recovery. ▲

DENNIS J. ARTESE is a shareholder in Anderson Kill's New York office. His practice concentrates on insurance recovery litigation, with an emphasis on securing insurance coverage for first-party property losses, third-party liability claims, and construction accidents.

dartese@andersonkill.com
(212) 278-1246

We are interested in your feedback on topics for future articles and seminars. Please email us.

About Anderson Kill

Anderson Kill practices law in the areas of Insurance Recovery, Commercial Litigation, Environmental Law, Estates, Trusts and Tax Services, Corporate and Securities, Antitrust, Banking and Lending, Bankruptcy and Restructuring, Real Estate and Construction, Foreign Investment Recovery, Public Law, Government Affairs, Employment and Labor Law, Captive Insurance, Intellectual Property, Corporate Tax, Hospitality, and Health Reform. Recognized nationwide by Chambers USA, and best-known for its work in insurance recovery, the firm represents policyholders only in insurance coverage disputes — with no ties to insurance companies and has no conflicts of interest. Clients include Fortune 1000 companies, small and medium-sized businesses, governmental entities, and nonprofits as well as personal estates. The firm has offices in New York, NY, Denver, CO, Los Angeles, CA, Newark, NJ, Philadelphia, PA, Stamford, CT, and Washington, D.C.

This publication was prepared by Anderson Kill P.C. to provide information of interest to readers. Distribution of this publication does not establish an attorney-client relationship or provide legal advice. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Future developments may supersede this information. We invite you to contact the authors with any questions. © 2021 Anderson Kill P.C.